

## Teachers' perception of their initial preparation in teaching senior high school physics

Isaac Buabeng 

University of Cape Coast, Ghana  
ibuabeng@ucc.edu.gh

### Abstract

This study investigated New Zealand (NZ) physics teachers' and physics educators' views about their Initial Teacher Education (ITE). Specifically, the study explored how well the ITE prepared physics teachers for classroom practice and to become effective teachers. The study employed mixed methods to answer the questions that were formulated to guide the study. The perspectives of the physics teacher educators and physics teachers nationally indicated that the teachers considered themselves not well-prepared in some content areas including electronics, modern physics, and atomic and nuclear physics. A significant difference in perspective also existed between teachers who were educated in NZ and those gaining initial teaching qualifications from overseas. The findings from the study suggested that the non-education degree which provided the teachers most of their content knowledge was perhaps inadequate for a teaching career. The implications for ITE of physics teachers are discussed in the paper.

### Keywords

Initial teacher education, content knowledge, physics teachers, physics educators, classroom practices

♦Received 20 February 2018 ♦Revised 9 July 2018 ♦Accepted 20 November 2018

### Introduction

In many respects, physics is the most basic and fundamental natural science - it involves universal laws and the study of the behaviour and relationships among a wide range of important physical phenomena (Cutnell & Johnson, 2007). It encompasses the study of the universe from the largest galaxies to the smallest subatomic particles. Moreover, it is the basis of many other sciences, including chemistry, oceanography, seismology, and astronomy, and physicists may work in many fields including the health services, communications, education, and meteorology among many others (American Physics Society, 2008; Gibbs, 2003). The physics learning experiences in schools provided by physics teachers are therefore very important.

For some time now, the physics learning experiences in schools, particularly those provided in the senior secondary school by specialist physics teachers, have been a major concern of many physics education researchers. McDermott and Shaffer (2000) advocated that a well-prepared

physics teacher should have a strong command of the subject matter content knowledge and an understanding about the difficulties this content presents to students. Lederman and Gess-Newsome (2001) mentioned that understanding the content matter is vital for beginning teachers to teach in a conceptually rich and accurate manner. Darling-Hammond and Baratz-Snowden (2005) also emphasised that in order to organise the curriculum to suit both students' needs and schools' learning objectives, beginning teachers must know and understand the subject matter they will teach. The National Research Council's science education standards recommended that teachers of science and mathematics should have a strong knowledge of science and mathematics concepts to enable them to guide students to explore these concepts (Coffey, Black, & Atkin, 2001; Loucks-Horsley, & Olson, 2000). Research findings, however, show that, it is difficult to measure the extent to which a large national sample of teachers understand these concepts they are teaching, hence proxy measures such as 'major' or 'number of courses taken' in one's field are usually used (Weiss, Banilower, McMahon, & Smith, 2001). Teachers who have acquired sufficient academic preparation – usually subject matter content and pedagogical skills are generally regarded as effective in classrooms (Darling-Hammond, 2000; Hendriks, Luyten, Scheerens, Slegers, & Steen, 2010; Orleans, 2007; Scheerens, 2009).

The importance of context in science teaching and learning and successes of science education in NZ have been reported (Bull, Gilbert, Barwick, Hipkins, & Baker, 2010; Coll, Dahsah, & Faikhamta, 2010; Cowie, Jones, & Otrell-Cass, 2011; Stewart, 2011). Even though the practice of science education research in NZ has changed over the last century, "there is little evidence on how science is taught in schools" (Bull et al., 2010, p. 31). A number of reports on students' performance and classroom practices in N Z have identified some areas of concern including little time for science, few hands-on activities, teachers with insufficient knowledge of subject matter and confidence in science instruction and students with less interest for science (Bull et al., 2010; Cooper, Cowie, & Jones, 2010; Hipkins & Bolstad, 2005; Hipkins, Roberts, Bolstad, & Ferral, 2006; Vannier, 2012).

Initial teacher education plays a key role in supporting the development of effective teachers. Lederman and Gess-Newsome (2001) found that, despite the fairly high level of confidence pre-service teachers have in their subject matter knowledge and the attainment of a bachelor's degree in the academic area, most do not understand the content that they are to teach in a conceptually rich or accurate manner. In discussing how the nature of science content affects learning and teaching, Fensham, Gunstone, and White (1994) indicated that content, learning and teaching are interrelated. To them, the extent to which teachers will go about a particular task in the classroom is greatly influenced by the subject matter content they know. Advancing on this, Gunstone (1994) suggested that content knowledge is important for "metacognition purposes" (p. 145). He argued that, understanding the science subject matter content, for

physics in particular, is most important for pre-service teachers, in the sense that it promotes self-reflection amongst them about their learning and how and what others have learned.

Learning outcomes are maximised when content knowledge is promoted together with strategic learning approaches (Conner & Gunstone, 2004). In 1986/7, Shulman introduced the term pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) and asserted that PCK represented “the blending of content and pedagogy into an understanding of how particular topics, problems or issues are organized, represented and adapted to the diverse interests and abilities of learners, and presented for instruction” (Shulman, 1987, p. 8). Shulman’s (1987) work indicated the importance for teachers to develop PCK so they can appropriate pedagogies to content knowledge. However, pedagogy can only be applied to content knowledge when there is an understanding of the conceptual knowledge to be taught. All these have implications for ITE in that ITE programmes need to model how to identify and learn content knowledge for pre-service teachers so they will gain confidence to teach the fundamental aspects of physics. ITE providers are responsible for the training and development of effective teachers. Commenting on the role that science teachers can play in facilitating high school students’ learning, Wellington and Osborne (2001) indicated that “as teachers of science ... our primary skills lie not in our ability to do science, but in our ability to interpret and convey a complex and fascinating subject” (p. 138). Therefore it is important for teacher educators to consider how beginning teachers are enabled to interpret and connect ideas and make these explicit in their teaching.

As evidenced by a survey of about 1355 participants, McDermott (2001) and McDermott, and Shaffer (2000) found that in the USA, a science degree programme majoring in physics does not provide adequate preparation for teaching in high schools. McDermott (2001) emphasized that the scope of topics and the laboratory courses offered by most physics departments rarely address the needs of student teachers. Likewise, using both quantitative and qualitative methods Mohd Zaki (2008) found that in Malaysia, about 113 pre-service teachers had a weak conceptual understanding of Newtonian concepts and had difficulty understanding kinematics graphs. Similar observations have been made by other researchers (Cochran-Smith, 2005; Darling-Hammond, Chung, & Frelow, 2002; Fensham, 2004; Korthagen, Loughran, & Russell, 2006). This has led to various attempts to reorganise teacher education programs. Korthagen et al. (2006) for example, after analysing effective features of teacher education programs in Australia, Canada and Netherlands, outlined how to guide the development of teacher education programs that are responsive to the expectations, needs and practices of student teachers. Also, Fensham (2004) argued that in developing appropriate pedagogies, the problematic nature of the content itself should not be ignored. This means that when educating physics teachers, we need approaches that are specific to the content domain of physics (MohdZaki, 2008).

Recent study the PCK research field, found physics teachers’ content knowledge (CK), PCK and pedagogical knowledge (PK) to be distinct dimensions of teacher professional knowledge (Kirschner et al., 2016). Thus, PCK can be seen as independent construct. Teacher professional knowledge influences teaching and learners outcome (Kirschner et al.) therefore, ITE programmes should identify ways to best support physics and improve the quality of their instruction. One way to this is to identify the knowledge that teachers need and use that influence the content taught at teacher education programmes. Further reviews are presented in the discussion section.

The focus of this study was to ascertain whether NZ physics teachers perceived their ITE as having prepared them well for teaching physics at senior levels in high schools. The study also focused on the course content, course structure and programme requirements of ITE programmes for aspiring physics teachers in NZ. Initial teacher educators assume a daunting responsibility when preparing students to become effective and pedagogically competent classroom practitioners.

This paper acknowledges that in NZ teacher education providers have the freedom to design their own courses and programmes to meet the needs of their students, providing they can demonstrate that graduates meet the Registered Teacher Criteria (New Zealand Teachers Council, 2010). That is, there is no national teacher education curriculum as occurs in some countries. Therefore, different teacher education providers have prepared current teachers differently. It is not the intention of this paper to highlight such differences by institution, but rather to discern whether the preparation that current physics teachers have received was appropriate for what they perceived they needed. Given that prospective teachers enter initial teacher education programmes with different backgrounds, experience and knowledge, this means that beginning teachers will have varying degrees of need to prepare them to be effective teachers. This study used mixed methods to answer the following questions:

1. What is the perception of physics teachers about their tertiary preparedness to teach high school physics?
2. What is emphasised in the initial teacher education of high school physics teachers in NZ and why?

### Context of the Study

Through a survey of about 3000 beginning teachers (from both teacher education programmes and alternative teacher preparation programmes), Darling-Hammond et al. (2002) examined the teachers’ perceptions of their preparedness and their sense of teaching efficacy. The variables that were found to correlate with student’s achievement were overall preparedness, sense of teaching efficacy and teacher effectiveness. Findings from these studies showed that teachers’ overall preparedness to teach related significantly to their sense of self-efficacy about whether they are able to make a difference to student learning. The results indicated that teachers who felt better prepared were significantly more likely to believe they could reach all of their

students, handle problems in the classroom, teach all students to high levels, and make a difference in the lives of their students. However, those who felt underprepared were significantly more likely to feel uncertain about how to teach some of their students and more likely to believe that students' peers and home environments influence learning more than teachers do (Darling-Hammond et al., 2002.). In discussing the findings, the authors noted that the teachers' feelings of preparedness were also significantly related to their confidence about their ability to achieve teaching goals. They concluded that measures must be put in place to improve teacher education programmes. They cited quality control standards by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) as one of those measures that can be used to improve initial teacher education programmes.

The professional learning of student teachers has been attributed to three major sources of influence, namely pre-training education experiences, teacher education coursework and fieldwork in the teacher education programme (Cheng, Cheng, & Tang, 2010; Kagan, 1992; Levin & He, 2008). These authors assert that the practicum experience and the variability of this experience influence teaching preparation. In NZ most secondary teachers complete a one-year graduate diploma in teaching, which includes supervised practicum experience in local high schools. Most of these teachers complete their first degree in their respective subject specialisms. The subject specific degree is deemed to provide most of the content knowledge required for at least one specialist-teaching area. Thus, usually the ITE physics course is primarily about acquiring PCK. Findings from the Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS) 2013 results indicated that teachers whose initial education included content, pedagogy and practice elements specifically for the subjects they taught, reported feeling better prepared for their work than their colleagues without this kind of training (OECD, 2014). Though the NZ education system has been reported to be attending well to developing understandings of the teaching and learning processes, teacher educators continue to have divided opinions over the subject matter knowledge that should be included in teacher education qualifications (McGee et al., 2010). The recent shift to Masters Qualifications for ITE in NZ provides an opportunity to review what subject matter content knowledge is included.

International studies about effective approaches to teaching and learning, such as findings from the OECD Innovative Learning Environments (ILE) Project (OECD, 2013) mean that adjustments to initial teacher education are required to accommodate the needs of current day learners and what we know makes a difference to learning. Recently, Conner and Sliwka (2014) indicated the implications of the ILE work (OECD, 2013) for initial teacher education internationally. The authors argued that initial teacher education programmes should include ways to help student teachers self-identify what they know and what they need to work on, i.e. take a more student-centred or customised approach to ITE, if prospective teachers are to be effective in the learning environments in which they will be expected to teach. Thus, significant changes are imminent in the initial teacher education programmes in NZ.

## Theoretical Framework

There has been much written about the links between student achievement and teaching quality as well as the relationship between teachers' CK and their students' achievement (Ball, Thames, & Phelps, 2008; Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden, 2005; Gess-Newsome, 2015). Researchers, for example, Ball et al. have argued that CK is "immensely important to teaching and its improvement" (p. 404). The authors put forward reasons about the usefulness of refining the conceptual map of the CK for teachers. Among other things, the authors proposed that "a clearer sense of the categories of CK for teachers should inform the design of support materials for teachers as well as teacher education and professional development" (p. 405). The model by Ball et al. seeks to clarify a curriculum for the content preparation of teachers that is professionally based. Similar models have been advanced by Gess-Newsome and Darling-Hammond and Baratz-Snowden. But the study reported here was guided by ideas from the Understanding Teaching and Learning model (UTL) (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden) which outlined three general intersecting areas of knowledge for ITE.

To improve the quality of teaching, Darling-Hammond and Baratz-Snowden (2005) outlined three general intersecting areas of knowledge that beginning teachers must acquire that have implications for what is included in initial teacher education programmes. Firstly, knowledge of learners and how they learn and develop within a social context; secondly, understanding the subject matter and curriculum goals (skill to be taught) in light of the social purposes of education; and thirdly, understanding the teaching in light of the content and learners to be taught, as informed by assessment and supported by a productive classroom environment (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden). The authors argued that for beginning teachers to be effective in "managing the classroom, selecting appropriate tasks, guiding the learning process and maintaining children's motivation to learn" (ibid, pp. 9-10), they needed to be equipped with subject matter content knowledge, knowledge of teaching and knowledge of learners and their development. This idea has also been applied to initial teacher education, i.e. that initial teacher education programmes should model this by assessing individual student teacher's needs in terms of content and modelling processes (Conner & Sliwka, 2014). From a social justice perspective (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden, 2005; Nilsson & Loughran, 2012), ITE programmes must enable pre-service teachers to acquire professional knowledge from multiple dimensions, including subject and context knowledge, general and pedagogical content knowledge and knowledge of learners and learning, hence the choice of the UTL model.

## Methodology

### Design

The study followed a mixed method design using both survey and case study techniques. Specifically, the convergent parallel design (Creswell & Clark, 2011) was employed for this study. The convergent parallel design (also called convergent design) involved the use of

concurrent quantitative and qualitative data collection, separate quantitative and qualitative analysis and the merging of the two data sets (Creswell & Clark). The parallel quantitative/qualitative design included a national survey of 104 physics teachers throughout NZ, and interviews with three teacher educators from three different universities who were involved in physics teachers' education programmes.

### *Sample and Sampling Technique*

All senior high school physics teachers in NZ were invited to participate in the study by completing an online survey. A total of 138 senior high school physics teachers started the survey and 104 completed it, representing a completion rate of 75.4%. Purposeful sampling technique was also employed to select three teacher educators of physics who participated in the study. The reason for selecting these physics teacher educators was mainly due to their interest in the study and their willingness to participate. Two forms of interviews, face-to-face and using skype, were conducted with the respondents involved in physics teacher education programmes. The skype interviews were organised for the physics teacher educators who were outside Christchurch. The skype platform was used because it was cost beneficial and interviews were conducted more quickly as well (Gray, 2009; Sarantakos, 2005). All the interviews were conducted at dates and times suitable to the respondents.

### *Instrument and Procedure*

An online survey (close and open-ended questionnaire) was developed and made available to high school physics teachers throughout NZ. The questionnaire was adapted from existing surveys (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-Snowden, 2005; Weiss et al., 2001) for evaluating secondary schools' science and mathematics classrooms. The items selected were modified to suit the purpose and context of this study, with attention given to ensuring that items constructed were unambiguous, unbiased, unloaded and relevant (Fraenkel, Wallen, & Hyun, 2012; May, 2001; Sarantakos, 2005), and also appropriate for the culture and context of NZ.

The survey questionnaire was pre-tested with 23 physics teachers in Christchurch. The teachers were selected with the help of science advisors at University of Canterbury Education Plus who provide professional development courses for local teachers. The teachers had approximately four weeks to complete the survey. The survey was multidimensional in nature in that it consisted of three primary scales –knowledge of learners and their development; knowledge of subject matter; and knowledge of teaching. The reliability of each scale was determined to find out the internal consistency of the scales, that is, the extent to which the items that constitute the scale “hang together” (Pallant, 2007, p. 85), using the Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient. A coefficient alpha value of 0.737 was obtained for knowledge of learners and their development. Subject matter knowledge and knowledge of teaching had coefficient alpha values of 0.747 and 0.840 respectively. Reliability coefficients were measured by using a scale from 0.00 (very unreliable) to 1.00 (perfectly reliable) (Gray, 2009). Henderson, Fisher, and Fraser

(1998) indicated that alpha coefficient values ranging from 0.62 to 0.77, exceeding the threshold of 0.60, are acceptable reliabilities for research purposes. The scales generated for the surveys in this study were therefore considered reliable.

A link to the main survey was posted on the New Zealand Institute of Physics (NZIP) website and websites for two professional teacher organisations – the New Zealand Association of Science Educators (NZASE) and the Canterbury Science Teachers Association (CSTA). Teachers completed the survey over a three-month period and typically took 20 minutes to respond to 25 questions, with a five-point or four-point scale with extreme alternatives of ‘strongly agree-strongly disagree’, ‘very important-not important’ and ‘very well prepared-not sure’.

### *Data Analysis*

The data generated from the survey were analysed using frequency tables and inferential statistics including t-test and MANOVA. Audio recordings from the interviews were transcribed. Nvivo 10 for Windows (QSR International Pty Ltd. Version 10, 2012) was used to organize the materials by coding them into nodes which provided easy retrieval of the themes that emerged. The production of accurate and verbatim transcripts was integral to establishing the credibility and trustworthiness of the data. The themes were cross-checked between the authors and some items were shifted on reconsideration of alignment with the themes. The comments collated under each node in NVIVO were analysed and sample quotations were selected for inclusion in this paper.

### *Results*

#### *Teacher Characteristics and Course Background*

The characteristics of the teachers who participated by completing the survey are presented in **Table 1**. The majority of the physics teachers who participated in the survey were males (67.3%). Approximately 60% of all respondents were above 40 years of age and 57% had been teaching physics for more than 10 years. About 26% of the teachers had earned a qualification beyond the Bachelor's degree. The majority (73.1%) had obtained a Bachelor's degree in science and had also completed a one-year Graduate Diploma in Teaching in a Faculty of Education at a university and/or had participated in a conjoint degree and diploma programme (e.g. Bachelor of Teaching and Graduate Diploma Teaching). The teachers who participated in the survey completed their ITE between 1965 and 2012. The majority (72.1%) received their ITE in NZ with the remainder being educated as teachers overseas. All respondents were teaching in a school.

Physics was a first-choice teaching subject for about three-quarters of the teachers. The remainder had changed to teaching physics in the course of their teaching career and their



reason for doing so was mainly the lack of subject specialist by the school and job availability. The physics teachers whose first-choice teaching subject was not physics, provided information about their content background in physics in relation to recommended college/university physics content courses. Electronics was an area where the majority (69.0%) had not undertaken any formal study. Over half of the respondents had also not pursued formal study related to atomic and nuclear physics (51.7%) and modern physics (51.7%).

**Table 1.** Characteristics of physics teachers who completed the survey (N = 104)

Characteristic		Freq.	%
Gender	Male	70	67.3
	Female	34	32.7
Age (in years)	21-30	11	10.6
	31-40	32	30.8
	41-50	31	29.8
	51+	30	28.8
Teaching experience	< 1 year	3	2.9
	1-2 years	9	8.7
	3-5 years	17	16.3
	6-10 years	16	15.4
	11-15 years	20	19.2
	16+	39	37.5
Educational attainment	PhD	5	4.8
	Masters	22	21.2
	1st Degree	76	73.1
	Others	1	1.0
Type of school	Co-educational	77	74.0
	Girls only	19	18.3
	Boys only	8	7.7
Completing year of ITE	1965-1987	27	26.0
	1988-2000	27	26.0
	2001-2007	24	23.1
	2008+	26	25.0

### *Teachers' Perceptions of their Preparedness*

Research question one was intended to find out whether the ITE adequately prepared and allowed pre-service teachers to become effective in their job. In order to answer this question, data were obtained from initial teacher educators, and physics teachers who at the time of this study were teaching the subject (physics) at high schools across the country.

Teachers' perceived preparedness to teach physics topics. The teachers indicated the extent to which their ITE prepared them for teaching various physics topics currently taught in NZ high

schools. Their responses were coded and ranked using a scale of 1 (not sure) to 4 (very well prepared). The mean scores as can be seen in **Table 2** show that the physics teachers felt more qualified and/or prepared to teach Mechanics (3.06), Electricity and Magnetism (3.02), and Waves (3.00) but considered themselves weak in Atomic and Nuclear physics (2.88), Investigations (2.81), Modern Physics (2.69), Applications (2.54), and Electronics (2.40). For all the content areas, only a few teachers indicated that they were unsure whether their initial teacher preparation programme made them suitably qualified to teach those areas.

**Table 2.** Teachers' perceptions of their level of preparedness to teach various physics content areas

Content areas	Frequency (and percentage) of responses				Mean	SD
	Very well prepared	Adequately Prepared	Not well prepared	Not sure		
Mechanics	29 (27.9)	55 (52.9)	17 (16.3)	3 (2.9)	3.06	0.75
Waves	28 (26.9)	51 (49.0)	22 (21.2)	3 (2.9)	3.00	0.78
Electricity and Magnetism	30 (28.8)	49 (47.1)	22 (21.2)	3 (2.9)	3.02	0.79
Electronics	9 (8.7)	31 (29.8)	57 (54.8)	7 (6.7)	2.40	0.74
Atomic & Nuclear physics	24 (23.1)	47 (45.2)	30 (28.8)	3 (2.9)	2.88	0.79
Modern physics	19 (18.3)	37 (35.6)	45 (43.3)	3 (2.9)	2.69	0.80
Investigations	19 (18.3)	48 (46.2)	35 (33.7)	2 (1.9)	2.81	0.75
Applications	13 (12.5)	35 (33.7)	51 (49.0)	5 (4.8)	2.54	0.77

### *Understanding teaching and learning (UTL) model.*

Again, the teachers responded to a number of points on how their ITE prepared them on other interdependent classroom variables. In particular, knowledge of learners and their development, knowledge of subject matter and curriculum goals, and knowledge of teaching which constitute the framework of the UTL model. As presented in **Table 3**, the majority of the teachers were of the view that their ITE experience equipped them with knowledge of learners and their development. More than 90% (SA+A) of the teachers indicated that their ITE provided background knowledge on how learners develop and learn. About 75% also believed that they were equipped with the skills of observation, monitoring and diagnosing learners to gain accurate feedback on their learning and development. Far fewer responded that their ITE provided neither information on skills of observation and monitoring and assessing to gain feedback on learners and their development. On the other hand, about 64% indicated that their ITE did not equip them with adequate subject matter knowledge. Almost 50% said their training did not enable them to understand, interpret and use both the state and school curricula. There was a negative response on the use of ICT in the teaching of physics. This may be a reflection on when they undertook their ITE.

On knowledge of teaching, the mean scores, as seen in **Table 4**, show that the teachers were not definite about being equipped with the appropriate knowledge of teaching from their ITE. However, most of the teachers held the view that they were equipped with knowledge about teaching diverse students (3.66). More than a quarter (29.8%) thought that their training did not focus on the use of inquiry and problem-based approaches, though more than half (58.0%) believed that their initial training focused on the use of inquiry and problem-based approaches. Also, a good number of the teachers (about 38%) disagreed that their initial training provided information on assessing students' learning. Surprisingly, 22% were also not sure of this claim. About 40% indicated that they had knowledge on students' assessment and learning.

**Table 3.** Teachers' Perception of their Preparedness on the UTL framework ( $N = 104$ )

My initial teacher education ...	Percentage responses					Response	
	SA	A	NS	D	SD	Mean	SD
<b>Knowledge of learner dev. &amp; learning</b>							
Provided background on how children develop and learn.	23.1	67.3	4.8	3.8	1.0	4.08	0.72
Equipped me with skills to observe, monitor, and assess children to gain accurate feedback about their learning and development.	14.4	60.6	13.5	7.7	3.8	3.74	0.94
Provided background about how children acquire and use language.	7.7	41.3	17.3	26.0	7.7	3.13	1.13
<b>Knowledge of subject matter</b>							
Provided knowledge of curriculum Goals.	20.2	65.4	3.8	9.6	1.0	3.94	0.85
Equipped me with adequate subject matter knowledge.	11.5	15.4	9.1	46.7	17.3	2.74	0.89
Enabled me to understand, interpret and implement the national and school curricula.	17.0	30.8	11.7	28.7	20.9	2.63	0.93
Incorporated the use of ICT into teaching and learning of physics.	9.6	36.5	8.7	28.8	16.3	2.54	1.31
<b>Knowledge of teaching</b>							
Enabled me to teach diverse student population.	14.4	55.8	12.5	16.3	1.0	3.66	0.95
Provided background about how to observe an individual student with different tasks and other students to diagnose his/her need.	8.7	31.7	22.1	33.7	3.8	3.08	1.08
Focused on the use of inquiry and problem-based learning approaches.	5.8	51.9	12.5	26.9	2.9	3.31	1.03

SA=Strongly agree A=Agree NS=Not sure D=Disagree SD=Strongly disagree

One-way analysis between groups' multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) indicated differences among the ITE groups in relation to the year (clustered) they completed their ITE on the three constructs (framework) of UTL - knowledge of learners and their development; knowledge of subject matter; and knowledge of teaching (Darling-Hammond & Baratz-

Snowden, 2005). Preliminary assumptions testing was performed to check for univariate and multivariate normality, linearity, equality of variance, homogeneity of covariance matrices, and multicollinearity (Field, 2009; Pallant, 2007; Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007) with no violations noted. The main results of the MANOVA are shown **Table 4**.

**Table 4.** Multivariate tests of significance for combined UTL

Grouping variable	Effect statistics	Value	F	df	Error df	p-value	Partial eta squared
Completing year of ITE	Pillai's Trace	0.206	2.459	9.00	300.00	0.010	0.069
	Wilk's Lambda	0.802	2.517	9.00	238.66	0.009*	0.071
	Hotelling's Trace	0.237	2.545	9.00	290.00	0.008	0.073
	Roy's Largest Root	0.183	6.088	3.00	100.00	0.001	0.154

\*Significant,  $p < 0.05$

As seen in **Table 4**, there are several test statistics to choose from, however, Tabachnick and Fidell (2007) recommend Wilk's Lambda for general use if assumptions are not violated. Using Wilk's Lambda statistics, there was a statistically significant difference among the year groups on the combined three constructs of UTL:  $F(9, 238.7) = 2.52$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ; partial eta squared = 0.071. When the results of the test (dependent variables) were considered separately, tests of between-subject effects, as shown in **Table 5**, revealed that the only difference to reach a statistical significance was knowledge of subject matter with a p-value of 0.001 and an eta squared value of 0.149.

**Table 5.** Tests of Between-Subject Effects for UTL Sub-Scales

Grouping variable	Dependent variables	Type III sum of squares	F	df	Mean squares	p-value	Partial eta squared
Completing year of ITE	Knowledge of learners dev. and learning	3.781	2.527	3	1.260	0.062	0.070
	Knowledge of subject matter	7.814	5.814	3	2.605	0.001*	0.149
	Knowledge of teaching	3.245	2.028	3	1.082	0.115	0.057

\*Significant,  $p < 0.05$

A post hoc test, using the Bonferroni correction and Games-Howell (Field, 2009) procedure, revealed that a difference in subject matter knowledge existed between teachers who completed ITE in the year 1965-1987 and those who completed in 2001-2007 ( $p$ -value = 0.05) and 2008 or later ( $p$ -value = 0.001). There was no significance difference between those who completed in

1965-1987 and 1988-2000. An examination of the estimated marginal mean scores (**Table 6**) indicated that teachers who completed in 2001-2007 ( $M = 3.69$ ,  $SD = 0.54$ ) and 2008+ ( $M = 3.94$ ,  $SD = 0.61$ ) reported a higher level of knowledge of subject matter than their counterparts who completed in 1965-1987 ( $M = 3.19$ ,  $SD = 0.81$ ).

**Table 6.** Estimated Marginal Mean Scores for the ITE Completion Year Groups

	Year group	Mean	Std. dev.
Knowledge of subject matter	1965-1987	3.185	0.808
	1988-2000	3.630	0.675
	2001-2007	3.694	0.538
	2008+	3.936	0.611

### Structure and components of ITE physics programme

Research question two was focused on the main findings relating to the course content, course structure and programme requirements of ITE programmes for aspiring physics teachers in New Zealand. In this section, the main similarities and differences of the ITE programmes offered to prospective physics teachers at three universities in NZ are reported. For the purpose of anonymity, the three institutions were given pseudonyms, University A, University B and University C, to conceal their identities.

The ITE physics programme offered by the three universities is a one-year full-time programme which runs from February to November. The course structure and programme requirements for physics ITE programmes are generic across the three institutions. The course was one semester in length with two-seven week periods of teaching practice (practicum). The course structures in all universities had been set up to meet the requirements of the NZ Teachers Council for initial teacher preparation for secondary teachers. The programme requirements to teach physics and therefore entry into the course were 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc physics papers and other science papers that would support teaching junior science at high schools. During the interview, the teacher educators explained that, with regards to programme entry requirements for engineering students and foreign students, they looked at the applicant academic transcripts to decide whether the applicant had a sufficiently strong physics background to pursue the physics course. The teacher educator at University A stated that he did not admit people with only Stage Three Electronics papers but looked for papers with a stronger core component of physics, such as Stage Three Mechanics or Civil Engineering as preparation for entry into secondary teaching.

The components and nature of the programmes, varied considerably across the three universities. Analysis of the interviews revealed that the teacher educators, who were also the coordinators for the physics teachers' ITE programme solely determined the component content to be included in the physics teacher education qualification. There was no national

teacher education curriculum to follow in terms of subject matter content knowledge or pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) to be included in the qualification. Each teacher educator had designed his/her own course work for the programme which they reviewed as and when necessary. The physics educator at University A, for example, commented that he was reviewing the course to include a session that would take into account the interest and special needs of ethnic groups with special interest in Māori students. He explained below:

Each year I review the course, and at the moment I'm wanting to include a bit more relation or thinking about students from various ethnic groupings such as Māori, so that when students complete assignments they take into account the interests and special needs of, in this case Māori students, being our Tangata Whenua of New Zealand. (Physics Educator, University A)

The teacher educators at University B and University C indicated that they regularly contacted local physics teachers in schools to keep themselves abreast of issues relevant to their courses and made changes when required.

It is not the intention of this study to highlight what each teacher educator was doing but rather to discern whether the preparation that pre-service physics teachers received was sufficient and appropriate for today's classrooms. The pre-service physics teacher educators were clear that their ITE physics courses were primarily about PCK, and that the non-education or first degree that students undertook, was assumed to provide most of the subject knowledge required. The physics educators stated that some of the students who enrolled in the physics courses were weak in some areas of physics content knowledge, but there was little time available to address this because the courses were not intended to teach the students physics content but rather to equip them with pedagogical knowledge to teach physics. The physics educator at University B mentioned that he occasionally spent some time developing content knowledge. He stated:

The students that come to the physics course are often quite rusty in terms of content knowledge, and that's a concern and the comment has been made in the past by associate teachers in schools that the students need to better know their physics. They don't come to our physics course with the intention of learning physics, we want to teach them to be physics teachers. But we invariably end up spending some time looking at content. (Physics Educator, University B)

The physics educator at University C stated:

We spend time looking at the curriculum statements and NZQA requirements for the NCEA levels, particularly Levels 2 and 3, so they become very familiar with the material that's supposed to be taught. Where there are gaps in their own knowledge we give them time and resources and they interact with each other to try and fill those gaps. But there's not an emphasis on trying to actually remedy any changes in their subject content knowledge. (Physics Educator, University C)

The physics educators emphasized that students came into the physics courses (and other science courses) with fairly specialist degrees which are supposed to provide the content knowledge required and there may be big gaps in content knowledge across science areas more generally. But what they seemed to be doing was mainly focussing on the content that was assessed through the National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) standards and different pedagogical approaches to teaching this content. Responsibility for learning content was mainly given to the aspiring student teachers to remedy any gaps in their subject matter content knowledge.

## Discussion

Prospective teachers enter initial teacher education programmes with different backgrounds, experience and knowledge. This means that beginning teachers will have varying degrees of need to prepare them to be effective for their professional career. The physics teachers in this study indicated the extent to which their ITE prepared them to become effective teachers, i.e. how to reflect on approaches to teaching the various physics topics currently taught in NZ high schools. The findings suggest that most of NZ physics teachers in this survey specialised in physics and therefore completed traditional undergraduate physics courses through a Bachelor of Science prior to entering a teaching programme. Also, more than a quarter of the physics teachers had a subject major other than physics in their initial science degree and therefore undertook initial teacher education in a different subject area. Their change in subject once they had been teaching for some time, was either due to a shortage of physics specialists or because an opportunity arose to teach physics.

The tertiary-level educational background of teachers provided useful information about their preparation for their chosen career. Also of importance were teachers' perceptions of their preparation, i.e. how well teachers felt they were prepared to teach the various content areas. The findings from this current study show that the majority of the teachers specialised in physics within their science degree and this gave an indication that the teachers were likely to understand most physics concepts. Table 2 provided more detailed information on physics teachers' perceptions of their preparedness to teach each of the content areas in the curriculum. Though the majority of the teachers completed the traditional undergraduate physics courses, the physics teachers considered themselves not well-prepared in some content areas, including electronics, modern physics and nuclear and atomic physics. Similarly, tests of between-subject effects, presented in Table 6, showed that the only construct of the UTL model to reach statistical significance was that for subject matter knowledge. The content areas investigated in the survey were largely based on the New Zealand Curriculum (NZC) (Ministry of Education, 2007). However, some of the teachers completed ITE some years ago, when concepts which are now core parts of the curriculum may not have been emphasised in either their undergraduate physics degrees nor covered in teacher education programmes. This may explain the respondents' weaknesses in other content areas, as presented in [Table 2](#).

On the other constructs of the UTL, especially knowledge of teaching, a large proportion of the teachers indicated that their ITE did not incorporate the use of technology into physics teaching and more than a quarter of the teachers thought that their pre-service education did not focus on the use of inquiry and problem-based approaches nor information on assessing students' learning progress. These aspects of physics teaching were, in the past, not considered as important as they are now and this may explain these findings. The estimated marginal mean score of 3.94 recorded by the 2008+ (Table 7) completing year group revealed that current ITE programmes in NZ have provided better development in this respect.

The physics teacher educators, who participated in the study, thoroughly discussed the course structure, content components and what was emphasised in their physics education courses. The components and nature of the physics education courses varied across the universities in NZ, but all focussed primarily on the development of pedagogical content knowledge and the practical aspects of teaching physics. They did not emphasize subject matter content knowledge. The entry qualification to the physics education courses is a physics degree or successful completion of one or more third year physics courses (units). At least part of a traditional undergraduate physics course within a science degree has to be completed to meet this requirement. The traditional physics course in New Zealand at undergraduate level comprises a blend of theory (e.g. mechanics, waves, optics, heat, electricity and electromagnetism, nuclear physics) and laboratory work, similar to what is reported internationally (Korthagen et al., 2006; McDermott, 2001; McDermott & Shaffer, 2000; Weiss et al., 2001). The content knowledge physics teachers gained arose mainly from their participation and learning in this undergraduate programme.

The traditional approach to teacher education (generally, not just for physics) has been criticised for its limited relationship to student teachers' needs (see for example Cochran-Smith, 2005; Darling-Hammond et al., 2002; Korthagen et al., 2006; McDermott, 2001; McDermott & Shaffer, 2000). After analysing the effective features of teacher education programs in Australia, Canada and Netherlands, Korthagen et al. for example, outlined how to guide the development of teacher education programs to be responsive to the expectations, needs and practices of pre-service teachers. The authors recommended seven principles called "principles of practice" (p. 1039) to those teacher educators willing to accept the challenge of reconstructing teacher education from within.

Also, Etkina (2010) and Hodapp, Hehn, and Hein (2009) have outlined the features of a successfully implemented new model of teacher preparation and recruitment. At the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, the model (programme) requires a student to complete a science major within a teaching qualification in four years (Hodapp et al.). At Rutgers University, Etkina reports that the model centres on three aspects of teacher preparation – content knowledge of physics, knowledge of pedagogy and knowledge of how to teach physics



(pedagogical content knowledge). Among other things, students in these programmes: learn physics through the pedagogy that pre-service teachers need to use when they become teachers. They learn how the processes of scientific inquiry work and how to use this inquiry in a high school classroom for specific physics topics. They also learn what students bring into a physics classroom and how to identify their strengths and weaknesses, as well as engage in scaffolded teaching before starting independent teaching or forming a learning community (Etkina, pp. 21-22). Findings from the Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS) 2013 results indicated that teachers whose initial education included content, pedagogy and practice elements specifically for the subjects they teach, reported feeling better prepared for their work than their colleagues without this kind of training (OECD, 2014). The philosophy and coursework for this model can be adapted by stakeholders who are committed to physics teacher preparation.

The finding that New Zealand physics teacher educators in their respective colleges decide what content to include in their physics education courses aligns with the assertion by McGee, Cowie, and Cooper (2010) that teacher educators in New Zealand generally continue to have divided opinions over how much subject matter knowledge should be included in teacher education qualifications. That is, there is no national teacher education curriculum, which means that different teacher education providers can prepare teachers differently. It is likely that content knowledge is somewhat assumed since secondary teaching in NZ for science teachers is mostly a postgraduate qualification. The time of teaching the physics components within these one year add-on qualifications (Graduate Diplomas and Masters) may not be sufficient to cover all the content that is needed for the final three years of schooling. There is, however, oversight of the ITE process by the New Zealand Teachers Council and there are generic Graduating Teacher Standards (New Zealand Teachers Council, 2010) that need to be met by new teachers graduating from teacher education programmes.

### Conclusion and Implications

This study has shown that the physics education programmes for would-be physics teachers in NZ generally do not cover all of the content knowledge for the final three years of schooling. The physics teacher education programmes are primarily about PCK rather than content *per se*. The physics teachers considered themselves not adequately qualified or prepared to teach some of the content areas in the curriculum. In part, this may have been due to the science degrees which provided the teachers with most of their physics content knowledge. The findings suggested that the content knowledge gained from the science degree was inadequate and did not address the needs of the teachers. As discussed in the previous session, ITE programmes need to be aware of this and respond appropriately (OECD, 2014). Among other things, Etkina (2010, pp. 21-22) recommends that physics teacher preparation should enable pre-service teachers to learn physics through the pedagogy that pre-service teachers use when they become

teachers, to learn how the processes of scientific inquiry works and how to use this inquiry in a high school classroom for specific physics topics, and to find out about their students' strengths and weaknesses both for content and processes of learning.

This study has reported on high school physics teachers' perceptions of the adequacy of their preparation to teach their subject. More than a quarter of the respondents were physics teachers who had changed subjects due to a shortage of physics specialists or because an opportunity arose to teach physics. Teachers who completed their initial teacher education between 1965 and 1987 reported a lower level of knowledge of content and curriculum goals than teachers who had graduated more recently. In addition, the teachers in the survey reported feeling not well-prepared to teach content areas such as electronics, modern physics and nuclear physics. Teachers prepared outside of NZ, were less prepared than those that undertook their initial teacher education programme in NZ. The overall implication is that teachers needed more content preparation or help to find ways to develop their content competencies for themselves. As indicated by the respondents, continuing professional development and learning must also be more responsive to the needs of teachers from other science disciplines because they may choose or be required to teach physics due to the shortage of physics teachers worldwide.

Findings from this study also suggested that in the past, the use of ICT, critical thinking, inquiry etc. were not necessarily emphasised in teacher education programmes as much as they are now. This implies that teachers may need on-going professional learning opportunities to develop these skills. The challenge for teacher educators is to ensure that today's teacher preparation programmes are responsive to the needs of physics graduates who aspire to be effective teachers. As Conner and Sliwka (2014) have suggested, as ITE programmes are revised and renewed, they need to build in processes for student teachers to self-identify what content areas they need to work on through diagnostic testing, and to accommodate the different needs that students have due to their diverse backgrounds and different levels of content and pedagogical knowledge. This would go some way to addressing the diverse backgrounds amongst candidates entering ITE institutions. Given that ITE programmes in NZ are currently exploring shifting to Masters Level, it is timely to reconsider what subject matter content knowledge and pedagogical knowledge is included in physics teacher preparation.

### Recommendations

From the findings of this study the following recommendations are offered. First, professional learning programmes in NZ should be implemented by Faculties of Education and/or Ministry of Education on a regular basis to support teachers in deepening both their content and pedagogical content knowledge to make learning for their students more interesting and relevant. Second, teacher educators in NZ could develop a closer association or work more closely with university physics departments so that they can include more interactive approaches to learning. Since teachers' understanding of physics is mainly gained through

learning within undergraduate physics courses, it is important that lecturers teaching these courses model effective approaches for teaching and learning. Lastly, the current initial physics teacher education courses in NZ could be reviewed to incorporate self-diagnostic procedures for students to identify what they already know and what they need to work on.

### Acknowledgement

This study was conducted as part my doctoral thesis, which was supported by University of Canterbury Doctoral Scholarship, a support which I gratefully acknowledge. I would also want to thank Prof. Lindsey Conner (Flinders University) and Dr. David Winter (University of Canterbury) for their support and inputs.

### References

- American Physics Society. (2008). Why study physics? Retrieved May 25, 2013, from <http://www.aps.org/programs/education/whystudy.cfm>
- Ball, D. L., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(5), 389-407.
- Cheng, M. M. H., Cheng, A. Y. N., & Tang, S. Y. F. (2010). Closing the gap between the theory and practice of teaching: implications for teacher education programmes in Hong Kong. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 36(1), 91-104. doi: 10.1080/02607470903462222
- Cochran-Smith, M. (2005). The new teacher education: For better or for worse? *Educational researcher*, 34(7), 3-17.
- Loucks-Horsley, S., & Olson, S. (Eds.) (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A Guide for Teaching and Learning*. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press.
- Coll, R. K., Dahsah, C., & Faikhamta, C. (2010). The influence of educational context on science learning: A cross-national analysis of PISA. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 28(1), 3-24.
- Conner, L., & Gunstone, R. (2004). Conscious knowledge of learning: Accessing learning strategies in a final year high school biology class. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(12), 1427-1443.
- Conner, L., & Sliwka, A. (2014). Implications of Research on Effective Learning Environments for Initial Teacher Education. *European Journal of Education*, 49(2), 165-177.
- Cowie, B., Jones, A., & Otrell-Cass, K. (2011). Re-engaging students in science: Issues of assessment, funds of knowledge and sites for learning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 9(2), 347-366.
- Creswell, J. W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Cutnell, J. D., & Johnson, K. W. (2007). *Physics* (7th ed.). New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Bull, A., Gilbert, J., Barwick, H., Hipkins, R., & Baker, R. (2010). *Inspired by science*. Wellington: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Education policy analysis archives*, 8(1), 1-15.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Baratz-Snowden, J. (2005). *A good teacher in every classroom: Preparing the highly qualified teachers our children deserve*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Berry, B., & Thoreson, A. (2001). Does teacher certification matter? Evaluating the evidence. *Educational Evaluation & Policy Analysis*, 23(1), 57-77.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Chung, R., & Frelow, F. (2002). Variation in teacher preparation how well do different pathways prepare teachers to teach? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 53(4), 286-302.
- Etkina, E. (2010). Pedagogical content knowledge and preparation of high school physics teachers. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 6(2), 1-26.
- Fensham, P. J. (2001). Science content as problematic-Issues for research. In H. Behrendt, H. Dahncke, R. Duit, W. Graber, M. Komorek, A. Kross & P. Reiska (Eds.), *Research in science education-Past, present, and future* (pp. 27-41). London: Kluwer.
- Fensham, P. J. (2004). *Defining an identity: The evolution of science education as a field of research*. London: Kluwer.
- Fensham, P. J., Gunstone, R. F., & White, R. T. (1994). *The content of science: A constructivist approach to its teaching and learning*. Bristol, PA: Falmer Press.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (3rd ed.). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gess-Newsome, J. (2015). A model of teacher professional knowledge and skill including PCK: Results of the thinking from the PCK Summit. In A. Berry, P. Friedrichsen & J. Loughran (Eds.), *Re-examining pedagogical content knowledge in science education* (pp. 38-52). New York: Routledge.
- Gibbs, K. (2003). *Advanced physics* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University press.
- Gray, D. E. (2009). *Doing research in the real world* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications Inc.
- Gunstone, R. (1994). The importance of specific science content in the enhancement of metacognition In P. J. Fensham, R. Gunstone & R. T. White (Eds.), *The content of science: a constructivist approach to its teaching and learning*. Bristol, PA: Falmer Press.
- Henderson, D. G., Fisher, D. L., & Fraser, B. J. (1998). Learning environment and student attitudes in environmental science classrooms. *Proceedings Western Australian Institute for Educational Research Forum 1998*. Retrieved from <http://www.waier.org.au/forums/1998/henderson.html>
- Hendriks, M., Luyten, H., Scheerens, J., Slegers, P., & Steen, R. (2010). Teachers' professional development: Europe in international comparison: An analysis of teachers' professional development based on the oecd's teaching and learning international survey (TALIS).
- Hipkins, R., & Bolstad, R. (2005). *Staying in science: Students' participation in secondary education and on transition to tertiary studies*. Wellington: NZCER.
- Hipkins, R., Roberts, J., Bolstad, R., & Ferral, H. (2006). *Staying in Science 2: Transition to tertiary study from the perspectives of New Zealand Year 13 science students*. Wellington: NZCER.
- Hodapp, T., Hehn, J., & Hein, W. (2009). Preparing high-school physics teachers. *Physics Today*, 62, 40-45.
- Kagan, D. M. (1992). Professional Growth Among Preservice and Beginning Teachers. *Review of educational research*, 62(2), 129-169. doi: 10.3102/00346543062002129
- Kirschner, S., Borowski, A., Fischer, H. E., Gess-Newsome, J., & von Aufschnaiter, C. (2016). Developing and evaluating a paper-and-pencil test to assess components of physics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(8), 1343-1372.
- Korthagen, F., Loughran, J., & Russell, T. (2006). Developing fundamental principles for teacher education programs and practices. *Teaching and teacher education*, 22(8), 1020-1041.
- Lederman, N. G., & Gess-Newsome, J. (2001). Reconceptualizing secondary science teacher education. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education* (pp. 199-213). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Levin, B., & He, Y. (2008). Investigating the content and sources of teacher candidates' personal practical theories (PPTs). *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(1), 55-68.
- Loucks-Horsley, S., & Olson, S. (Eds.) (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A Guide for Teaching and Learning*. Washington DC: National Academies Press.
- May, T. (2001). *Social research: issues, methods and process*. Buckingham: Open University.

- McDermott, C. L. (2001). Oersted medal lecture 2001: Physics education research—the key to student learning. *American Journal of Physics*, *69*, 1127-1137. doi: 10.1119/1.1389280
- McDermott, C. L., & Shaffer, P. S. (2000). Preparing teachers to teach physics and physical science by inquiry. *Physics Education*, *35*(6), 411-416.
- McGee, C., Cowie, B., & Cooper, B. (2010). Initial teacher education and the New Zealand curriculum. *Waikato Journal of Education*, *15*(1), 9-27.
- Ministry of Education. (2007). *The New Zealand curriculum*. Wellington Learning Media Limited.
- Mohd Zaki, I. (2008). *Improving the training of pre-service physics teachers in Malaysia using didaktik analysis*. (PhD), University of Waikato, Hamilton.
- National Research Council. (1996). *National Science Education Standards: observe, interact, change, learn*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.
- New Zealand Teachers Council. (2010). Registered teacher criteria: Handbook 2010. Retrieved May 21, 2013, from <http://www.teacherscouncil.govt.nz/rtc/rthandbook-english.pdf>.
- Nilsson, P., & Loughran, J. (2012). Exploring the development of pre-service science elementary teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, *23*(7), 699-721.
- NVivo qualitative data analysis software; QSR International Pty Ltd. Version 10, 2012.
- OECD. (2013). *Innovative learning environments Paris*: Educational Research and Innovation, OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2014). *TALIS 2013 Results: An international perspective on teaching and learning*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Orleans, A. V. (2007). The condition of secondary school physics education in the Philippines: Recent developments and remaining challenges for substantive improvements. *The Australian educational researcher*, *34*(1), 33-54.
- Pallant, J. (2007). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS* (3rd ed.). Berkshire, UK: Open University Press.
- Sarantakos, S. (2005). *Social research* (3rd ed.). New York: Palgrave Macmillan
- Scheerens, J. (2009). Teachers' professional development: Europe in international comparison. *A secondary analysis based on the TALIS dataset*. The Netherlands: University of Twente.
- Stewart, G. (2011). Science in the māori medium curriculum: Assessment of policy outcomes in Pūtaiao education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, *43*(7), 724-741.
- Vannier, D. M. (2012). *Primary and secondary school science education in New Zealand (Aotearoa): Policies and practices for a better future*. Wellington: Fulbright New Zealand.
- Weiss, I. R., Banilower, E. R., McMahon, K. C., & Smith, P. S. (2001). *Report of the 2000 national survey of science and mathematics education*. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.
- Wellington, J., & Osborne, J. (2001). *Language and literacy in science education*. Buckingham: Open University Press.

